

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,018	04/22/2002		Karen Briley-Saebo	NIDN-10427	3572	
36335	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
AMERSHA	M HEALTH		SMITH, RUTH S			
IP DEPARTM	1ENT					
101 CARNEGIE CENTER ART UNIT				PAPER NUMBER		
PRINCETON	ETON, NJ 08540-6231 3737					
				DATE MAIL ED. 09/25/2004	DATE MAIL ED. 00/05/0004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action	Cummons	10/018,018	BRILEY-SAEBO E	BRILEY-SAEBO ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ruth S Smith	3737					
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress				
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum of the period for reply specified about 1 ft NO period for reply is specified and 1 ft NO period for reply within the set or expressions.	THIS COMMUNICATION.  ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  uailing date of this communication.   ove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  above, the maximum statutory period w  ktended period for reply will, by statute,  ater than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT  6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ficuse the application to become ABANDC date of this communication, even if timely	e timely filed  days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> mmunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 19 Ma	ny 2004.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance	ce with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>14-25</i> is/ar	e pending in the application							
	im(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/a	re allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-25</u> is/ar	Claim(s) <u>14-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/a	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is o	bjected to by the Examiner	,						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declarati	ion is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9							
a) All b) Some *  1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application from	c) None of: es of the priority documents es of the priority documents certified copies of the priori om the International Bureau	have been received in Applic ty documents have been rece	ation No ived in this National \$	Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PT	O-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent     Information Disclosure Statemet     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	t Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTO	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/018,018

Art Unit: 3737

## Claim Objections

Claims 20-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 20-22,25 depend from cancelled claim 13. The following rejections in view of the prior art will be based upon the examiner's interpretation that the claims depend from the only independent claim now pending- claim 14. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-15, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuhn. The claims are directly readable on Kuhn which discloses MR imaging whereby a catheter filled with a blood pool contrast agent (column 6, lines 20-35) is placed into the vasculature of a patient and an MR image of at least a part of the body containing the catheter is generated. With respect to claim 25, this limitation is inherent in the method disclosed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhn in view of Gunther et al. Kuhn discloses MR imaging whereby a catheter filled with a blood pool contrast agent (column 6, lines 20-35) is placed into the vasculature of a patient and an MR image of at least a part of the body containing the catheter is generated. Kuhn fails to specifically disclose the blood pool contrast agents used. Gunther et al disclose MR blood pool contrast agents. The contrast agents are as set forth in claims 16-19. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have

Art Unit: 3737

modified Kuhn such that the blood pool contrast agents used are those disclosed by Gunther et al. Such a modification merely involves the selection of a known type of blood pool contrast agent for those used in the method of Kuhn. With respect to claims 20-24, Gunther et al discloses the use of these materials and positive and negative contrast agents which use differences in T1 and T2 as set forth.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM- 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

**RSS**